# BS5837 Arboricultural Impact Assessment & Method Statement

**122 Kidmore End Road, Reading, RG4 8SP**

| | |
|---|---|
| Client: | Mr & Mrs Didwania |
| Job Reference: | 05373Rv3 |
| Planning Ref: | - |
| Consultant: | Keiron Hart (BSc Hons, C.Env, F.Arbor.A, MICFor, MEWI, AARC, APAEWE, VETcert) |

July 2025

Tamla Trees
consulting arborists

# Contents


1. Executive Summary ..... 3
2. Statutory Protection ..... 4
3. Terms of Reference ..... 6
4. The Trees ..... 7
5.1 Site Specific Soils ..... 8
5.2 Root Protection Area (RPA) Incursions ..... 15
5.3 Tree Pruning & Removal ..... 19
5.4 Demolition & Foundations ..... 22
5.5 Surfaces near Trees ..... 28
5.6 Site Service Provision ..... 28
5.7 Ground Level Changes, Landscaping & Soil Remediation ..... 31
5.8 Tree Shading of Proposal ..... 35
5.9 Arboricultural Project Supervision ..... 36

**Appendix 1 – BS5837 Survey Key** ..... **38**
**Appendix 2 – BS5837 Tree Classification** ..... **39**
**Appendix 3 – BS5837 Survey Data** ..... **41**
**Appendix 4 – Tree Works Schedule** ..... **44**
**Appendix 5 - Tree Constraints Plan** ..... **45**
**Appendix 6 - Tree Protection Plans** ..... **47**
**Appendix 7 - Tree & Services Plan** ..... **50**
**Appendix 8 – Site Photographs** ..... **52**
**Appendix 9 – Limitations** ..... **53**

## 1. Executive Summary

1.1 Tamla Trees ltd has been appointed by Mr & Mrs Didwania to provide advice and a report on the arboricultural issues relating to the advised development: *""Revisions/ extensions to existing residential property."* We surveyed the site in June 2025. The survey accorded with BS5837.

1.2 The main constraint trees are T1 (Norway Maple) and T4 (Silver Birch) to the rear. A system of tree protective fencing will secure the Root Protection Areas (RPA) of these trees. All protection measures are detailed at Appendix 6 – Tree Protection Plan.

1.3 T2 (Apple) to the rear is proposed for removal. No (building footprint) development construction takes place within the RPA of any retained tree. A small section of the shrub group (referenced as SG1) will also be removed to facilitate the works. These are low level-maintained garden shrubs.

1.4 T5 (Ash) was showing clear signs of deterioration from Ash Dieback. A further Ash (beyond the scope of this report given its location relative to the proposed works) was also identified and discussed with the client as being in decline. Infected declining trees should be removed in the interests of health and safety Scope would exist to replace them with 2 new Silver Birch trees (or similar).

1.5 The tree issues can be summarised as: **Proposed removal of section of SG1> Effective Tree Protection> Service Connections> Site operative knowledge of tree protection issues> Soft landscaping to make good.**

1.6 The site is within the Reading Borough Council administrative area. The property and surveyed trees are not within a Conservation Area or affected by a Tree Preservation Order (TPO).

1.7 This report is based on the client plans ref: 11/P-20 A and associated drawings.

1.8 Subject to adherence to the working practices/ methodology detailed within this report there should be no discernible impact on the retained trees for the building construction works.

## 2. Statutory Protection

2.1 At the time of writing, we are advised as follows:

| Conservation Area Status | |
|---|---|
| Is the site located within a Conservation Area? | No |
| **Notes:** (i)All trees larger than 7.5cm diameter at 1.5m above ground level are subject to regulations within a Conservation Area. Exemptions apply for trees which are dead and dangerous but clarification before any tree works is advised. A notification is required in many circumstances. | |
| **Tree Preservation Order Status** | |
| Are inspected trees subject to a TPO? | No |
| Type of TPO | ~~Area~~ |
| | ~~Individual~~ |
| | ~~Group~~ |
| | ~~Woodland~~ |
| TPO Reference | NA |
| Date TPO Made | NA |
| **Notes:** (i) The type and details of any TPO determine which trees are 'protected'. Exemptions apply for trees which are dead and dangerous but clarification before any tree works is advised. An application may be required before undertaking works. (ii) At the time of writing Reading Borough Council website confirms the property is not within a Conservation Area or affected by a Tree Preservation Order. | |




**Fig 1 – Reading Borough Council constraint plan (June 2025). The property and trees are not affected by a TPO or located within a Conservation Area.**

## 3. Terms of Reference

3.1 BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations'

3.2 BS3998:2010 'Tree work – recommendations'

3.3 Arboricultural Associations Approved Tree Work Contractors List

3.4 https://www.trees.org.uk/Help-Advice/Help-for-Tree-Owners/Guide-to-Tree-Pruning

3.5 NJUG 4 – National Joint Utilities Group "Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility apparatus in proximity to trees. Volume 4, issue 2. London: NJUG 2007" To include Operatives Hand-out Guidance

3.6 Foundation design, tree species water use - NHBC Chapter 4.2 Building near trees

3.7 TDAG Trees Planning & Development – A guide for delivery

3.8 TDAG Trees in Hard Landscapes – a guide for delivery

3.9 TDAG Tree Species Selection for Green Infrastructure – a guide for specifiers

3.10 BGS Open-Source Soil Data http://www.bgs.ac.uk/nercsoilportal/maps.html

3.11 HSE (2014) Avoiding danger from underground services: https://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/books/hsg47.htm

3.12 Eissenstat & Yanai (1997) The ecology of root lifespan. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 27, 1-60.

3.13 Hendricks & Pregitzer (1992) The demography of fine roots in a northern hardwood forest. *Ecology*, 73, 1094-1104.

3.14 BRE Digest 412: Desiccation in clay soils.

3.15 Matheny & Clark (1998) Trees and Development: A Technical Guide to Preservation of Trees During Land Development.

3.16 https://www.reading.gov.uk/planning-and-building-control/trees-hedges-and-environment/trees/

## 4. The Trees

4.1 The trees can be summarised as follows:

| BS 5837 Cat | A | B | C | U |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Specific Trees** | - | T1, T4, T6 | T2, T3<br><br>TG1 & SG1 | T5 |
| **Total Number** | None | 3 trees | 2 trees, 1 tree group, 1 shrub group. | 1 tree* |

**Based on available access/ note further Ash highlighted to client on site but outside scope of report.*

4.2 There were no hedgerows that qualify for consideration under the 1997 Hedgerow Regulations.

## 5.1 Site Specific Soils

5.1.1 Soil is an important factor in tree growth and the type of underlying soil can impact on successful integration of new developments.

5.1.2 A free draining sandy soil containing sand/gravel is likely to lead to water being accessible in the upper horizons during the growing season and available at greater depths and trees will generally be forced to explore a larger volume/ depth on such soils. The structure of such soil also makes compression more difficult (by heavy construction plant), and root penetration is easier for the trees. By comparison, a clay soil is more easily compressed, particularly when wet and compression can have a greater impact on tree health.

5.1.3 British Geology Survey (BGS) data indicates the following:

| | Soil Description |
|---|---|
|   | **Bedrock Deposits: Seaford Chalk Formation and Newhaven Chalk Formation -** Chalk. Sedimentary bedrock formed between 89.8 and 72.1 million years ago during the Cretaceous period.[1]<br><br>**Superficial Deposit: None recorded** |

| | |
|---|---|
| Underlying Soil Material contains Clay | **No** |
| Soil Type increased rooting depth profile? | **No** |
| Increased risk of soil compaction due to soil type | **Yes** |

[1] https://webapps.bgs.ac.uk/lexicon/lexicon.cfm?pub=SNCK

5.1.4 All comments regarding soils should be verified with onsite geotechnical investigations and laboratory testing with foundation depth and design undertaken by a structural engineer comment regarding soils should be verified with onsite geotechnical investigations and laboratory testing with foundation depth and design undertaken by a structural engineer in accordance with the requirements of NHBC Chapter 4.2.

5.1.5 BS5837 indicates: 4.6.2 "*The RPA for each tree should initially be plotted as a circle centred on the base of the stem. Where pre-existing site conditions or other factors indicate that rooting has occurred asymmetrically, a polygon of equivalent area should be produced. Modifications to the shape of the RPA should reflect a soundly based arboricultural assessment of likely root distribution*." It advises at Section 4.6.3 That any deviation in the RPA from the original circular plot should take account of a number of site-specific factors.

5.1.6 BS5837 recognises that the root morphology of trees may be affected by a number of factors and in certain situations the plotting of RPA's will deviate from the circle to reflect site specific considerations. It is our experience that to consider structures such as driveways, houses and garages as areas trees cannot utilise for rooting (and to then modify RPA plotting where they exist within an identified RPA) is too simplistic and not aligned with how trees actually utilise soil.

5.1.7 Within around 3 to 4m of the base of mature trees there will generally be a structural root system providing both support and the main structure/ root architecture for smaller roots to originate. These larger roots have the very real capacity to be influence by any significant structures (footings, roads to adoptable standard construction etc) where there may be a physical obstruction close to them and this can affect root morphology in such locations. In addition to this there will generally be a noticeable increase in structural rooting to the southwest of mature trees in the UK to reflect the prevailing wind direction, particularly where a tree may be isolated/ open grown increasing its wind exposure. Root growth and location will also be influenced by the presence of other trees, structures sheltering trees etc all of which can combine to affect the shape and location of a structural root system.







**Fig 2 – Open grown trees or those with minimal obstructions close to their stems will have a network of structural roots supporting feeder/ fine root growth beyond (above left). In certain situations, root morphology can be affected by structures close to the main stem (above right: Mattheck).**

5.1.8 Beyond the structural (and generally permanent) root system will be a network of smaller roots which in turn subdivide to fine roots. Fine roots will also be found throughout the root system (i.e. both close to and distant from a tree) to maximise soil resource uptake and reflect underlying soil conditions. Some larger roots (>25mm and sometimes much larger) can extend away from this area and remain permanent particularly where there may be a constant supply of water (such as a broken downpipe on a building some distance away) which encourages a roots development. Generally, the smaller roots (<10mm and particularly fibrous roots) outside of the immediate structural root plate can be considered to be in a state of constant change. They will grow seasonally, and tree roots generally grow at night. Small fibrous roots are also mostly short lived (ranging from anything between 10 days to over a year[2]). The cyclical death and decay of roots releases both nitrogen and carbon into the soil and is an important part of

[2] Eissenstat & Yanai (1997) The ecology of root lifespan. *Advances in Ecological Research,* 27, 1-60.

soil nutrient cycling process. The extent and location of the trees fine root system reflects a trees resource requirement (as resources are removed from certain areas of the soil and exploited in others) as well as the resource capacity required to form such a fibrous root system. Fine roots produced near the soil surface tend to live longer than those deeper in the soil[3]. The fine root system shows species variation and will also vary in depth (depending on species dynamics and underlying soil conditions). Adopted highways generally have a footing that extends < 0.5m and most UK residential properties have footings in the range of 0.5-1.5m depth.




**Fig 3 – Fine root growth is (generally) seasonal peaking in late spring and again in early autumn but dying back in winter dormant periods when photosynthetic production ceases. This is an important part of the soil nutrient cycle and demonstrates that a static RPA as calculated by BS5837 is a 'simplistic' view of the tree rooting dynamic. (Image Source:** Tamla Trees**)**

5.1.9 The fine root system shows species variation and will also vary in depth (depending on species dynamics and underlying soil conditions). Adopted highways generally have a footing that extends < 0.5m and most UK residential properties have footings in the range of 0.5-1.5m depth. Trees will easily root below these depths, and this is evidenced by the fact that every year in the UK there are thousands of tree related subsidence cases.

[3] Hendricks & Pregitzer (1992) The demography of fine roots in a northern hardwood forest. *Ecology*, 73, 1094-1104.







**Fig 4 – Borehole log 10m from mature Oak tree on clay soil detailing fine roots to depths of 2.5m indicated with arrows (Source: Tamla Trees project) and annotated soil moisture depletion by trees showing a peak influence at 2m and extending to 5m (above right)**

5.1.10 Against this backdrop rooting information seeking to manipulate RPA shapes to account for the presence of houses, garages etc outside of the immediate zone of structural rooting (3-4m) is not considered appropriate. Unless ground obstructions are present within the immediate structural rooting area or to such a depth as to nullify potential fine root growth (below basements or retaining wall step changes in levels for example) Tamla Trees ltd will show RPA's in a circular fashion but seek to maximise the quality and positioning of specified tree protection measures and encourage ground treatments (such as mulching – see Section 5.7). **Clients and developers must implement these measures for them to be effective. A failure to protect trees during the development process adversely affects soil and roots. Symptoms may not present themselves for a number of years following the development as the tree(s) enter a spiral of potentially irreversible decline.**

**Fig 5 - Manion's spiral of tree decline for Norway Spruce (modified by Mrkva 1993)**

5.1.11 BS5837 Section 4.6.3 Site Specific Assessment:

| Section | Consideration | Site Specific Comments |
|---|---|---|
| **4.6.3 (a)** | *the morphology and disposition of the roots, when influenced by past or existing site conditions (e.g. the presence of roads, structures, and underground apparatus);* | • The step change in levels to the west of T2 (Apple) and existing structure will likely have influence in part the rooting of this tree. It may be more developed below the existing lawn area, but roots will still extend to, and below, the patio. This tree is proposed for removal. |
| **4.6.3.(b)** | *topography and drainage;* | • The site is generally level, save for the step change (up) to the rear where the existing patio extends towards the rear garden.<br>• There was no evidence of intermittent pooling of water or adverse drainage conditions close to trees. |
| **4.6.4.(c)** | *the soil type and structure;* | • Soil is indicated by the BGS as chalk. Depending on depth and extend chalk-based soils can decrease likely aeration and increases the risk associated with potential ground compaction (particularly in the wet).<br>• This risk is reduced by a design that has no building excavation works within the RPA of retained trees.<br>• Detailed foundation design and further comment on soil is deferred to the project structural engineer who should account for the clay relative to the retained trees.<br>• **Tree protection and ground protection measures (if they become necessary) detailed in this report will only be effective if these are instated immediately prior to all site works and maintained for the duration of the works.**<br>• **These must be briefed to all contractors, so they understand their purpose.** |
| **4.6.4.(d)** | *the likely tolerance of the tree to root disturbance or damage, based on factors such as species, age, condition and past management.* | • No foundation works are proposed within the RPA of any retained trees.<br>• T2 is proposed for removal and is not the subject of a TPO or located within a Conservation Area. It is also unlikely to be considered of amenity to include within a new TPO. We would not expect objections from the council to its removal. |

## Root Protection Area (RPA) Incursions

5.2.1 The following incursions into the RPA's of trees to be retained have been identified:

| BS 5837 Cat | A | B | C | Summary |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **RPA Incursion** | - | T1 | SG1 | **Pedestrian/ Machinery Access (Construction) – Existing driveway (front) and hard surface patio (rear) in combination with proposed fencing prevents risk of ground compaction.** Protection measures indicated at Appendix 6. |
| | - | All | All | **Construction (Works) –** All tree protection measures installed as indicated prior to any on site activity and retained for the duration of all site works. |
| | - | T1 | SG1 | **Site Storage/ welfare –** Welfare likely within existing house/ or located on driveway/ patio. Temporary ground protection seeks to maximize storage to the rear. |
| | - | - | - | **Services** – None proposed within tree root RPA's but to be kept under review. All connections envisaged to be with those that already exist in the house. Hand digging guidance contained at Section 5.6. Unlikely necessary given minimal RPA constraints. The general principles of NJUG 4 – National Joint Utilities Group "Guidelines for the planning, installation, and maintenance of utility apparatus in proximity to trees. Volume 4, issue 2. London: NJUG 2007" should be adhered to. Special guidance (overview) on hand digging is included within this report for any service excavation which may be required within the RPA. All new manhole chambers must be located outside the RPA of any retained tree. **Contractors (demo & construction) must be made aware of this requirement.** |
| | - | - | - | **Landscaping (Soft) –** All making good must be with BS3882 compliant topsoil raked out by hand. See Section 5.7 for further details. Further comments on soft landscaping works are outside the scope of this report. |

5.2.2 No construction/ building footprint works are proposed within the RPA of retained trees.

| Tree Number | RPA Total (Sqm) | Existing Incursion (Sqm) | As % of trees RPA | Proposed Incursion (Sqm) | As % of trees RPA | Difference +/- |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | |
| **Increase in RPA covered** | | **Decrease in RPA covered** | | | | |

5.2.3 It is recognised that BS5837 recommends all structures be placed outside the RPA of retained trees: *5.3.1 The default position should be that structures (see 3.10) are located outside the RPAs of trees to be retained. However, where there is an overriding justification for construction within the RPA, technical solutions might be available that prevent damage to the tree(s) (see Clause 7). If operations within the RPA are proposed, the project arboriculturist should: a) demonstrate that the tree(s) can remain viable and that the area lost to encroachment can be compensated for elsewhere, contiguous with its RPA; b) propose a series of mitigation measure.*

5.2.4 It is considered in this instance that there is 'overriding justification' on the basis all construction works are outside the RPA of retained trees.

## Tree & Development Risk Indicator



- **Note:** This level of risk if a visual guide only and is only relevant **if all advised tree protective measures are put in place prior to any on site activity and maintained for the duration of the works.**
- **LOW risk on the basis the design places the extension works outside the RPA of retained trees.**
- **Note:** Only on-site testing can confirm the local soil conditions below foundation level, but available information suggests the presence of a CHALK.




**Fig 6 – Diagram showing the typical particulate composition and air/ water content at field capacity for mineral soil types[4] The variation in soil type has a direct bearing on the potential impact of adverse construction techniques (such as soil compaction) as well as overall root system morphology & development. Clay soils tend to have shallower rooting as moisture remains readily available while soils containing free draining gravel and sand can encourage deeper rooting based on reduce soil bulk density and greater seasonal variations in moisture availability.**

[4] Forestry Commission (2005) The Influence of Soils and Species on Tree Root Depth

## 5.3 Tree Pruning & Removal

5.3.1 A section of SG1 visualised below will be removed to accommodate the extended building footprint. These are small managed garden shrubs. We have included for the pruning back of T3 (Holly) as the canopy extends to and rests on the existing property. T2 (Apple) is proposed for removal.



**Fig 7 – Section of SG1 to be removed (above left) and indicative pruning of T3 (above right)**

**Tree Surgery**

| Tree No. | Species | Proposed Tree Works | BS Cat |
|---|---|---|---|
| **T3** | Holly | Crown lift low branches over existing property roof to provide 1m clearance. Pruning to be to suitable side growth point and accord with BS3998 Tree Works | **C1** |

**Proposed Removal**

| Tree No. | Species | Proposed Tree Works | BS Cat |
|---|---|---|---|
| **SG1** | Sweet Bay, Buddleia, Cornus | Remove section to accommodate extended building footprint. | **C1** |
| **T2** | Apple | Remove | **C1** |
| **T5** | Ash* | Remove (H & S) – Ash Dieback | **C1** |

**Note:** *Further Ash to east of T5 also displaying signs of Ash Dieback – removal of both trees advised.

5.3.2 **Birds -** In the event future tree works are required to be completed between 1st March & the 31st of July (inclusive) a due diligence check for nesting birds must be completed before work starts in order to comply with the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981. This check should be recorded in the Site-Specific Risk Assessment. If active nests are found work should not take place until the young have fledged.

5.3.3 **Bats** – It should be noted that in England and Wales, the relevant legislation is the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) (as amended); the Countryside and Rights of Way Act, 2000; the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (NERC, 2006); and by the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (2010).

## Tree Pruning Indicator



- Design unlikely to create pruning pressures given spatial design relative to retained trees.
- T3 (Holly) will grow back but above is relative to revised footprint assessment/ not existing.
- **Note:** This is an indicative assessment. All and any future works should be undertaken in accordance with BS3998 (Tree Works), and we recommend the use of Arboricultural Association approved contractors.[5]

5.3.4 Please note that this is not a health and safety assessment report and that vigilance for the emergence of any fungal pathogens is advised.

[5] https://www.trees.org.uk/ARB-Approved-Contractor-Directory

## 5.4 Demolition & Foundations

5.4.1 All tree protection will be installed prior to any on site activity. The proposed tree protection procedure can be summarised as follows:

**Stage 1**

- Remove T2 and section of SG1 as indicated.
- Install BS5837 Tree Protective Fencing (See Appendix 6).
- Brief all contractors on purpose of tree protection.

**Stage 2**

- Complete development / extension works.
- Connect services if required (to be hand dug in areas of roots (if applicable) - none advised.

**Stage 3**

- Protection remains in place for complete development cycle.
- BS3882 compliant topsoil imported and raked out where required to 'make good'.
- Undertake soft landscaping/ reseeding of any grass.

5.4.2 Trees will be protected **prior to any on-site development activity.**

# Tree Protection




## Overview

- ***Feet fence spec (left).***
- ***Note: To be marked with signs (inset) and purpose to be briefed to all ground workers.***
- ***Maintained for duration of the build.***

| Threat Level to Retained Trees | LOW |
|---|---|

5.4.3 All internal tree protection must be appropriately signed to ensure that all site operatives know its purpose.

**Fig 8 – Professional grade weatherproof tree protection signs no smaller than 297 x 420 mm (A3) should be placed on protective fencing.**

5.4.4 No ground protection is identified at this time but in the event of any localised movement or storage requirements relative to the RPA of retained trees it must be installed. The specification of ground protection should reflect the movements across it. An overview of this is provided below:




**Fig 9 – Overview of ground protection. The contractor must ensure the specification is suitable for the works (overview of this above right). To be installed where hard surfacing is not already in place prior to any on site construction activity within RPA's – None currently identified but to be kept under review and installed as required if any deviations required to protection measures/ locations.**

**Fig 10 – Temporary ground protection is an effective way of allowing access through the RPA of retained trees. It must be installed prior to any on site activity and maintained for the duration of all works to be effective. Above left Tamla Trees project ground protection in place and above right being removed following the completion of site works.** (**Note:** *depending on the length of time it is in place it will adversely affect underlying grass ground cover which will need reseeded/ turfed accordingly*)

5.4.5 **Site Manager/ Consultant Sign Off:** At this point a site inspection is required to confirm the appropriate tree protection measures have been completed.

| Date of Inspection | Compliance with Tree Protection Plan? | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Yes | | No | |
| Rectification Actions (insert notes) | | | | |
| Site Manager Signature: | | | | |
| Print Name: | | | | |
| Arboricultural Consultant Signature: | | | | |
| Print Name: | | | | |

## SITE TREES ARE NOW ADEQUATELY PROTECTED AND DEMOLITION/ CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY CAN COMMENCE

## 5.5 Surfaces near Trees

5.5.1 No new surfaces are proposed within the RPA of retained trees.

## 5.6 Site Service Provision

5.5.1 All services should be designed outside the RPAs where possible and no manhole/ chambers should be located within the retained tree RPA. Where further excavations are required, these will be undertaken by hand if within the RPA of retained trees. Likely to tie into existing property.




**Fig 11 – Annotated service installation depth drawing (source:** Thorne & Derrick**). Service installations occupy the same soil volume/ depth where the greatest level of tree roots will likely be found.**

5.5.2 **Services -** Any activity to excavate within the RPA (not currently indicated – for information/ guidance as required) has the capacity to cause root damage and should be hand dug in accordance with the principles detailed as follows:

## PLEASE NOTE THIS OPERATION HAS AN ELEVATED CAPACITY TO CAUSE DAMAGE TO TREE ROOTS

5.5.3 **Planning the excavation:** A 'toolbox talk' will spot mark and agree the locations and working practices. In the event tree roots (multiple &/or roots >25mm in diameter) are encountered work will stop and progress with hand tools only.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |
| **Narrow Face Spade & Hand Trowel** | **Stiff Hand Brush** | **Hi Vis Paint (for spot marking roots)** | **Hessian (to wrap exposed roots)** | **Duct Tape (to secure hessian)** |

**Fig 12 – Advised tools/ materials which should be available for all excavation works within RPA.**

5.5.4 Digging around tree roots is a skill and operatives must proceed with caution. Once a root is located it is often necessary to use a combination of hand tools and a stiff hand brush to track and 'trace' the roots location. Spot marking roots >25mm with spray paint is advised. **All roots >25mm in diameter will be retained. Please also note that retention of all roots where possible (including fibrous ones) is advised.**

5.5.5 **How deep?** – The excavation need only be as deep as the relevant service to be installed requires. **WARNING:** Breaking the ground has the potential to uncover services/ destabilise adjacent structures etc. Some general advice from the HSE can be found here.

5.5.6 **Root Wrapping/ Protection:** In the event the footing works expose any roots >25mm in diameter these must be wrapped or protected with a covering of soil if left exposed overnight or for longer than any single 4-hour period before backfilling following service install.

| Root Wrapping | |
|---|---|
| | **Overview**<br>• ***Any exposed roots >25mm should be wrapped in hessian (example left) if exposed overnight or for any 4-hour period.***<br>• ***Spot marking with spray paint to highlight locations also advised.***<br>• ***Alternatively, roots can be covered over with topsoil to maintain moisture retention.***<br>• ***Example Tamla Trees project on London Plane (left).*** |
| **Threat Level to Retained Trees** | **HIGH** |

## 5.6 Ground Level Changes, Landscaping & Soil Remediation

5.6.1 All 'making good' will be with BS3882 compliant topsoil raked out by hand (to no more than 100mm depth within any tree RPA) and then seeded/ planted as appropriate. Further comments on full landscaping details are beyond the scope of this report.

**Fig 13 – All 'making good' topsoil will be BS3882 compliant and raked out by hand to no greater depth than 100mm.**

5.6.2 We encourage the use of composted bark mulch below tree canopies where possible to aid water retention and increase soil microbial activity. This is particularly relevant to mature retained trees.

## Mulching

### Overview

- *Circular area edged to 50-100mm depth to stop mulch from 'creeping' on to surround lawn.*
- *Composted mulch then spread around below tree by hand – no need to lift or remove underlying grass.*
- *Mulch topped up annually/ as required.*
- *Positive benefits for mulched trees*

| Threat Level to Retained Trees | LOW |
|---|---|




**Fig 14 – Benefits of Mulch (Image Source 1st Stop Landscape Supply (US)**

5.6.3 Where soft landscape planting occurs within the RPA of retained trees, we advise the use of small pot sizes and plug planting where possible to minimize the risk of root disturbance.

| Plug and Pot Planting | |
|---|---|
| | **Overview**<br>• ***Within 1.5m of retained trees planting should be with plug stock (left)***<br>• ***Small plant pot sizes <3l utilised for new planting in further areas.***<br>• ***Hand dug planting holes.***<br>• ***Top dressed in compacted bark mulch/ soil as appropriate.***<br>• ***Watered weekly May – September during season 1 & 2.*** |
| **Threat Level to Retained Trees** | **LOW** |

## 5.7 Tree Shading of Proposal

5.7.1 No shading issues are identified on the basis of minimal tree cover and a design that maximizes natural light within the extended property incorporating roof lantern features.




**Fig 15 – Rear elevation extract from 11/P-22 A. The design incorporates 2 roof lanterns and large patio doors. There are no considered shading issues relative to trees.**

## 5.8 Arboricultural Project Supervision

5.8.1 Most damage to trees on developments sites is caused inadvertently and to ensure continued protection during development a system of site monitoring is normal.

5.8.2 Basic checks will be undertaken as the construction phase progresses to ensure that protective fencing remains intact and ensure the proposed works close to trees are completed in accordance with this report. Any unforeseen issues can be identified and discussed with the consulting arboriculturalist before any damage to trees occurs.

5.8.3 This approach allows a strong working relationship with the site manager/ construction staff to identify issues that may affect retained trees and ensure they are addressed before they escalate.

5.8.4 After each site inspection is completed, a formal record will be sent to the local authority. On this basis we would advise the following inspection regime:

| Visit Detail | Date | Status |
|---|---|---|
| **1st Site Inspection**<br>Attend site once tree protection is in place. Inspect/ Toolbox talk with site operatives regarding tree protection measures but prior to any on site works. Update local authority on findings. | **TBC** | **Incomplete** |
| **Final Site Inspection**<br>Final site visit to confirm that no damage has been done to retained trees/ identify any remedial actions in the event damage has occurred. Assess any required tree surgery following construction. Update local authority and project team on findings. | **TBC** | **Incomplete** |

**Note: Actual visit dates subject to change/ confirmation depending on project program.**
**Note: On smaller projects of this type inspections/ protection measures can be confirmed by way of image exchange.**

## Appendix 1 – BS5837 Survey Key

| BS 5837 Cat | Description |
|---|---|
| A | Those of high quality and value: in such a condition as to be able to make a substantial contribution (> 40 years) |
| B | Those trees of moderate quality and value: those in such a condition as to make a significant contribution (> 20 years) |
| C | Those trees of low quality and value: currently in an adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established (> 10 years) |
| U | Those in such a condition that any existing value would be lost within 10 years, and which should, in the current context, be removed regardless of development (< 10 years) |

**Note:** Subcategories are denoted in the tree survey data (A1, B1, C2 etc.). You are referred to BS5837 for further detail if required.

| | |
|---|---|
| **Tree No.** | T (tree), G (group), H (hedge), W (woodland) + Ref No. |
| **Species** | Common Name |
| **Ht (m)** | Measured height in metres |
| **DBH (m)** | Diameter at 1.5m above ground level |
| **No of stems** | An indication of the trees form @1.5m (1 = single stem, m/s = multi-stemmed) |
| **Branch Spread** | In m to cardinal points |
| **Cr Ht Clearance (m)** | Overall height of lowest branches from the ground level on side of proposed development |
| **Life Stage** | Young, Semi-Mature, Early Mature, Mature, Over-Mature |
| **General Observations** | Observations on the condition of the tree(s) |
| **Tree Work Specification** | Proposed tree works in accordance with BS3998 |
| **BS Cat** | See above |
| **Life Exp** | Estimated remaining contribution in years. |
| **RPA Radius(m)** | Radius of the trees Root Protection Area measured from the trunk to the edge of the RPA circle in metres |

## Appendix 2 – BS5837 Tree Classification

The classification of trees is undertaken during the survey to inform decisions as they relate to designs and retention/ removal. The 'value' of a tree in terms of its visual amenity is subjective and the full condition of a tree may not be apparent given access and other site-specific factors. If a tree is proposed for retention in many respects its BS category is irrelevant. We encourage the retention of all trees where the design realistically allows this with the exception of U cat trees (as these are usually 'defect' trees). There should not be a presumption that all C category trees can or should be removed. Generally, A & B Category trees are those of greatest value to a development and designs should be manipulated to retain these where possible. Further detail on classification of trees is contained at Section 4.5 of BS5837. Some selective extracts are detailed below:

***4.5.2*** *The purpose of the tree categorization method, which should be applied by an arboriculturist, is to identify the quality and value (in a non-fiscal sense) of the existing tree stock, allowing informed decisions to be made concerning which trees should be removed or retained in the event of development occurring.*

***4.5.5*** *When determining the appropriate category for any given tree, group, or woodland (see* ***4.4****), the arboriculturist should start by considering whether the tree falls within the scope of category U. Assuming that it does not, the arboriculturist should then proceed on the presumption that all trees are considered according to the criteria for inclusion in category A. Trees that do not meet these criteria should then be considered in light of the criteria for inclusion in category B. This process should be repeated, as required, until the appropriate quality or value assessment is reached.*

***4.5.6*** *Trees of generally high quality and/or value which have a defect or defects that do not reduce their retention span below the suggested 40-year threshold, should be placed in category A, i.e. they should not be downgraded as a result of minor imperfections.* **Tamla Trees Note:** We do not apply a simple >40 = Cat A approach as many trees will have retention values in excess of 40 years but not be considered Cat A.

***4.5.11*** *The tree survey might identify the presence of veteran trees on the site. The implications of their presence on the use of the surrounding land should be assessed at the earliest possible stage of the design process. Where such trees are to be retained, particular care should be taken in the design to accommodate them in a setting that aids their long-term retention.*

**Please note assessments are made based on available access and factors can affect full inspections (3rd party tree location, extensive basal undergrowth, Ivy etc). This survey is not a full health and safety inspection although obvious defects (where noted) will be identified.**

**BS5837 Table 1 is shown on the following page and provides detail on the relevant categorisation. Elements of this remain subjective and if a tree is shown for retention its category is somewhat irrelevant as we consider all trees should be afforded the same value/ protection if to be retained.**

Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

| Category and definition | Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate) | | | Identification on plan |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Trees unsuitable for retention** (see Note) | | | | |
| **Category U**<br>Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years | • Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)<br>• Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline<br>• Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality<br>*NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.* | | | See Table 2 |
| | **1 Mainly arboricultural qualities** | **2 Mainly landscape qualities** | **3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation** | |
| **Trees to be considered for retention** | | | | |
| **Category A**<br>**Trees of high quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years | Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue) | Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features | Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture) | See Table 2 |
| **Category B**<br>**Trees of moderate quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years | Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation | Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality | Trees with material conservation or other cultural value | See Table 2 |
| **Category C**<br>**Trees of low quality** with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm | Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories | Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits | Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value | See Table 2 |

## Appendix 3 – BS5837 Survey Data

| Tree No. | Species | DBH (m) | No of Stems | Ht (m) | Crown Spread | | | | BS Cat | Age Class | Life Expect | Cr Ht (m) | Observation | Recommendations | RPR (m) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | N | E | S | W | | | | | | | |
| T1 | Maple (Norway) | 0.55 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | B2 | Mature | 20 to 40 | 1 | Ivy covered lower stem but good leaf cover. Wide canopy form. Visible to the street scene. Looks to have been topped in the past. Ownership not clear given extent of shrubs below. | No works | 6.6 |
| T2 | Apple | 0.46 | 1 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 3 | C1 | Mature | > 40 | 1 | Large established example. Previously heavily reduced. Sensitive fruit tree pruning could increase flowering and fruit production. Spring feature tree. | Remove | 5.5 |
| T3 | Holly | 0.18 | 1 | 4.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | C1 | Early mature | 20 to 40 | 1.6 | Established 3rd party tree to rear of exist playroom. | Crown lift low branches over existing property roof to provide 1m clearance. Pruning to be to suitable side growth point and accord with | 2.2 |

| Tree No. | Species | DBH (m) | No of Stems | Ht (m) | Crown Spread | | | | BS Cat | Age Class | Life Expect | Cr Ht (m) | Observation | Recommendations | RPR (m) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | N | E | S | W | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BS3998 Tree Works | |
| T4 | Birch (Silver) | 0.5 | 1 | 14 | 5.4 | 7.3 | 6 | 6 | B2 | Mature | 20 to 40 | 1 | Ivy covered lower stem but good leaf cover. Wide canopy form. Visible to the street scene. | No works | 6 |
| T5 | Ash | 0.5 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 5 | U | Mature | <10 | 1 | Ivy covered lower stem. Canopy decline indicative of Ash Dieback. Established shrubs below. | Remove (H & S) – Ash Dieback (Note: Further Ash to east also advised for removal) | 6 |
| T6 | Pear | 0.16 | 1 | 5.5 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.4 | B1 | Mature | 20 to 40 | 1 | Establishing highway tree. | No works | 1.9 |
| TG1 | Ash x 4, Sorbus x 1 | 0.18 | 1 | 9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | C1 | Early mature | 10 to 20 | 1.4 | Close grown 3rd party trees. Ash likely have limited life span given species. Sorbus likely longer retention value but multi stemmed example. | No works but monitor Ash for decline. | 2.2 |

| Tree No. | Species | DBH (m) | No of Stems | Ht (m) | Crown Spread | | | | BS Cat | Age Class | Life Expect | Cr Ht (m) | Observation | Recommendations | RPR (m) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | N | E | S | W | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Localised screening between properties. | | |
| SG1 | Sweet Bay, Buddleia, Cornus | 0.06 | M/S | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | C1 | Mature | 20 to 40 | 0.2 | Mixed managed garden shrubs. | Remove section to accommodate extended building footprint. | 0.7 |

# Appendix 4 – Tree Works Schedule

**Tree Surgery**

| Tree No. | Species | Proposed Tree Works | BS Cat |
|---|---|---|---|
| **T3** | Holly | Crown lift low branches over existing property roof to provide 1m clearance. Pruning to be to suitable side growth point and accord with BS3998 Tree Works | **C1** |

**Proposed Removal**

| Tree No. | Species | Proposed Tree Works | BS Cat |
|---|---|---|---|
| **SG1** | Sweet Bay, Buddleia, Cornus | Remove section to accommodate extended building footprint. | **C1** |
| **T2** | Apple | Remove | **C1** |
| **T5** | Ash* | Remove (H & S) – Ash Dieback | **C1** |

**Note:** *Further Ash to east of T5 also displaying signs of Ash Dieback – removal of both trees advised.

**NOTE:** All tree works to be undertaken in accordance with BS 3998:2010 'Tree work - Recommendations'.

**NOTE:** We recommend using Arboricultural Association approved contractors who can be sourced here

# Appendix 5 - Tree Constraints Plan




| Tree No | Species | DBH | Height | Age Class | Life Exp | Observations | BS Cat | RPR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| T1 | Maple (Norway) | 0.55 | 14 | Mature | 20 to 40 | Ivy covered lower stem but good leaf cover. Wide canopy form. Visible to the street scene. Looks to have been topped in the past. Ownership not clear given extent of shrubs below. | B2 | 6.6 |
| T2 | Apple | 0.46 | 3.5 | Mature | > 40 | Large established example. Previously heavily reduced. Sensitive fruit tree pruning could increase flowering and fruit production. Spring feature tree. | C1 | 5.5 |
| T3 | Holly | 0.18 | 4.5 | Early-mature | 20 to 40 | Established 3rd party tree to rear of exist playroom. | C1 | 2.2 |
| T4 | Birch (Silver) | 0.5 | 14 | Mature | 20 to 40 | Ivy covered lower stem but good leaf cover. Wide canopy form. Visible to the street scene. | B2 | 6.0 |
| T5 | Ash | 0.5 | 14 | Mature | <10 | Ivy covered lower stem. Canopy decline indicative of Ash Dieback. Established shrubs below. | U | 6.0 |
| T6 | Pear | 0.16 | 5.5 | Mature | 20 to 40 | Establishing highway tree. | B1 | 1.9 |
| TG1 | Ash x4, Sorbus x1 | 0.18 | 9 | Early-mature | 10 to 20 | Close grown 3rd party trees. Ash likely have limited life span given species. Sorbus likely longer retention value but multi stemmed example. Localised screening between properties. | C1 | 2.2 |
| SG1 | Sweet Bay, Buddleia, Cornus | 0.06 | 2.3 | Mature | 20 to 40 | Mixed managed garden shrubs. | C1 | 0.7 |

COPYRIGHT RESERVED
DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING

**Tree Survey Drawing Key**

Root Protection Area m2
Tree Canopy Extent
Stem Location / Coloured disc denotes BS: 5837 Category
Tree Number

**See Tamla Trees, Tree Survey for Individual Tree Details**

## KEY

Please refer to Tamla Trees report for details

- Category A - Trees of high quality
- Category B - moderate quality
- Category C - low quality
- Category U - Dead, Dying or Defect trees with <10 years retention value

RPA - root protection area as defined by Table 2 BS 5837:2012

**NOTE #** Tree positions indicatively mapped due to lack of detailed topographical plan

| REV | AMENDMENTS | DRAWN | DATE | AUTH'D |
|---|---|---|---|---|

PROJECT
122 Kidmore End Road, Reading, RG4 8SP

CLIENT
V. Didwania

TITLE
Tree Constraint Plan (TCP)

| Job | Scale | DRG NO | Revision |
|---|---|---|---|
| 05373R | 1:250 @ A3 | 05373P_TCP_01 | - |
| Date 16/06/2025 | Type a | | |

Tel: 01252 811 233
Email: info@tamlatrees.com
Web: www.tamlatrees.com
Tamla Trees consulting arborists

| Tree No | Species | DBH | Height | Age Class | Life Exp | Observations | BS Cat | RPR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| T1 | Maple (Norway) | 0.55 | 14 | Mature | 20 to 40 | Ivy covered lower stem but good leaf cover. Wide canopy form. Visible to the street scene. Looks to have been topped in the past. Ownership not clear given extent of shrubs below. | B2 | 6.6 |
| T2 | Apple | 0.46 | 3.5 | Mature | > 40 | Large established example. Previously heavily reduced. Sensitive fruit tree pruning could increase flowering and fruit production. Spring feature tree. | C1 | 5.5 |
| T3 | Holly | 0.18 | 4.5 | Early-mature | 20 to 40 | Established 3rd party tree to rear of exist playroom. | C1 | 2.2 |
| T4 | Birch (Silver) | 0.5 | 14 | Mature | 20 to 40 | Ivy covered lower stem but good leaf cover. Wide canopy form. Visible to the street scene. | B2 | 6.0 |
| T5 | Ash | 0.5 | 14 | Mature | <10 | Ivy covered lower stem. Canopy decline indicative of Ash Dieback. Established shrubs below. | U | 6.0 |
| T6 | Pear | 0.16 | 5.5 | Mature | 20 to 40 | Establishing highway tree. | B1 | 1.9 |
| TG1 | Ash x4, Sorbus x1 | 0.18 | 9 | Early-mature | 10 to 20 | Close grown 3rd party trees. Ash likely have limited life span given species. Sorbus likely longer retention value but multi stemmed example. Localised screening between properties. | C1 | 2.2 |
| SG1 | Sweet Bay, Buddleia, Cornus | 0.06 | 2.3 | Mature | 20 to 40 | Mixed managed garden shrubs. | C1 | 0.7 |

COPYRIGHT RESERVED
DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING

**Tree Survey Drawing Key**




**See Tamla Trees, Tree Survey for Individual Tree Details**

## KEY

Please refer to Tamla Trees report for details

- Category A - Trees of high quality
- Category B - moderate quality
- Category C - low quality
- Category U - Dead, Dying or Defect trees with <10 years retention value

RPA - root protection area as defined by Table 2 BS 5837:2012

**NOTE #** Tree positions indicatively mapped due to lack of detailed topographical plan

REV AMENDMENTS DRAWN DATE AUTH'D

PROJECT
122 Kidmore End Road,
Reading,
RG4 8SP

CLIENT
V. Didwania

TITLE
Tree Constraint Plan (TCP)

| Job | Scale | DRG NO | Revision |
|---|---|---|---|
| 05373R | 1:250 @ A3 | 05373P_TCP_02 | - |
| Date 16/06/2025 | Type a | | |

Tel: 01252 811 233
Email: info@tamlatrees.com
Web: www.tamlatrees.com
Tamla Trees consulting arborists

# Appendix 6 - Tree Protection Plans

**Tree protection is essential to successfully integrate the proposal into the surrounding trees. It is designed to manage the impact on the underlying soil and rooting environment. It must therefore be installed prior to any further site activity. Even apparently minimal tracking of the soil near trees has the capacity to irretrievably modify the soil environment to the detriment of tree health and stability.**

**All our fencing specifications accord with advice and guidance within BS 5837. Modifications to fence types are possible but should be discussed prior to implementation. In all other instances the form detailed below should be shown. This offers the best protection to retained trees.**

- **All tree protection must be in place prior to any site activities. It is recommended that this fencing is installed prior to any site works (including demolition).**
- **To be effective Tree Protection must remain in place for the duration of the development and form part of the site induction process.**
- **Fencing spec (right) to be installed prior to any on site activity. Combined with temporary ground protection (if required).**



**At Start**
TPP Fencing

**For Duration**
TPP Signage in Place?

**For Duration**
TPP Remains

**For Duration**
Tree Protection Part of Induction Process

**For Duration**
Evidence of regular review?

COPYRIGHT RESERVED
DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING




**Tree Survey Drawing Key**




**See Tamla Trees, Tree Survey for Individual Tree Details**

## KEY

Please refer to Tamla Trees report for details

- Category A - Trees of high quality
- Category B - moderate quality
- Category C - low quality
- Category U - Dead, Dying or Defect trees with <10 years retention value

RPA - root protection area as defined by Table 2 BS 5837:2012

Proposed removal - to facilitate Development

Location of protective fencing - BS 5837 Feet Fence (or similar)

Canopy cut back in accordance with BS3998

Existing patio

Patio extension

**NOTE #** Tree positions indicatively mapped due to lack of detailed topographical plan

| REV | AMENDMENTS | DRAWN | DATE | AUTH'D |
|---|---|---|---|---|

**Tree Protection Specification**




**Fencing to be installed prior to any on site activity (demolition or construction)**




- *Warning signs to be located every 5m and to be minimum A3 in size (i.e. 42cm x 29.7cm)*
- *To be checked and replaced as necessary.*

**Deviations from the advised tree protection compromises tree roots and should be avoided. The purpose of tree protection measures should be briefed to all on site staff.**

PROJECT
122 Kidmore End Road,
Reading,
RG4 8SP

CLIENT
V. Didwania

TITLE
Tree Protection Plan (TPP)

| Job | Scale | DRG NO | Revision |
|---|---|---|---|
| 05373R | 1:250 @ A3 | 05373P_TPP_01 | B |
| Date 30/06/2025 | Type a | | |

Tel: 01252 811 233
Email: info@tamlatrees.com
Web: www.tamlatrees.com
Tamla Trees consulting arborists







COPYRIGHT RESERVED
DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING

**Tree Survey Drawing Key**

Root Protection Area m2
Tree Canopy Extent
Stem Location / Coloured disc denotes BS: 5837 Category
Tree Number

**See Tamla Trees, Tree Survey for Individual Tree Details**

## KEY

Please refer to Tamla Trees report for details

- Category A - Trees of high quality
- Category B - moderate quality
- Category C - low quality
- Category U - Dead, Dying or Defect trees with <10 years retention value

RPA - root protection area as defined by Table 2 BS 5837:2012

Proposed removal - to facilitate Development

Location of protective fencing - BS 5837 Feet Fence (or similar)

Canopy cut back in accordance with BS3998

Existing patio

Patio extension

**NOTE #** Tree positions indicatively mapped due to lack of detailed topographical plan

| REV | AMENDMENTS | DRAWN | DATE | AUTH'D |
|---|---|---|---|---|

PROJECT
122 Kidmore End Road,
Reading,
RG4 8SP

CLIENT
V. Didwania

TITLE
Tree Protection Plan (TPP)

| Job | Scale | DRG NO | Revision |
|---|---|---|---|
| 05373R | 1:125 @ A3 | 05373P_TPP_02 | B |
| Date 30/06/2025 | Type a | | |

Tel: 01252 811 233
Email: info@tamlatrees.com
Web: www.tamlatrees.com
Tamla Trees consulting arborists

# Appendix 7 - Tree & Services Plan

- No services information currently available.
- To be kept under review as part of site inspection process.
- **Note:** All service companies should be provided with a copy of the Tree Protection Plan as early in the design process as possible to ensure that service routes are located outside RPA's where possible.
- NJUG 4 – National Joint Utilities Group "Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility apparatus in proximity to trees. Volume 4, issue 2. London: NJUG 2007" to be adhered to at all times. A copy is available here.




***Extract from National Joint Utilities Group "Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility apparatus in proximity to trees. Volume 4, issue 2. London: NJUG 2007"***

***Service information not available but likely to tie into existing property connections.***

## Appendix 8 – Site Photographs

**Image 1 – T1 Norway Maple**

**Image 2 – T2 (Apple) and the existing rear elevation.**

Image 3 – TG1

Image 4 –T6

## Appendix 9 – Limitations

***Full Legal Disclaimer***

*This report was prepared as a report of work instructed by client (as specified). Neither Tamla Trees ltd nor any associated company, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the report and its findings. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favouring by Tamla Trees ltd or any associated company. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of Tamla Trees ltd or any associated company.*

***Copyright & Non-Disclosure Notice***

*The content, layout and any supporting digital files associated with this report are subject to copyright owned by Tamla Trees ltd. Exceptions to this are present where that copyright has been legally assigned to Tamla Trees ltd by another party/ organisation. In addition, Tamla Trees ltd may utilise content generated under license. Reproduction, scanning, copying or distribution of this report in any form is prohibited without prior written agreement.*

***Third Party Disclaimer***

*Tamla Trees ltd, sub-contractors or suppliers will not be responsible or liable for any claim of loss or damage resulting from the third-party use of the information contained within this report.*

***Specific - Trees***

*All tree inspections, unless specified, have been undertaken from ground level and using non-invasive techniques. Comments contained within the report on the condition and risk associated with any tree relate to the condition of the tree at the date and time of survey. Please note that the condition of trees is subject to change. This change may occur but is not limited to biological and non-biological factors as well as mechanical/ physical changes to conditions in the proximity of the tree. Trees should be inspected at intervals relative to risk/ target areas and in accordance with relevant HSE guidance. Tamla Trees ltd can provide further information on this matter if required. Where full access to trees (Ivy, materials at base, location on 3rd party land) was not possible Tamla Trees ltd accept no liability for issues that arise.*

*Please note no statutory control checks have been undertaken (unless specified). Where tree surgery works have been identified these works are based on the assumption that planning is approved, no tree works should be undertaken prior to determination of this application without up-to-date confirmation of the Tree Preservation Order / Conservation Area Status of the vegetation. All works should be undertaken in accordance with the appropriate Duty of Care. This should include, for example, site specific risk assessments and due diligence inspections for the presence of protected species.*

*Any comment/ measurements relating to 3rd party trees have been made without full access to the tree(s). Should these trees have any impact on the proposed development we would advise you to instruct us to contact the 3rd party and undertake further detailed inspection work.*

***A legal Duty of Care requires that any tree works specified in this report should be performed by qualified, arboricultural contractors who have been competency tested to determine their suitability for such works in line with Health & Safety Executive Guidelines. Additionally, all works should be carried out according to British Standard 3998 (2010) Recommendations for Tree Work.***