

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/E0345/W/24/3347565

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/E0345/W/24/3347565

Appeal By MR D SANDHU

Site Address
39 Berkeley Avenue
Reading
RG1 6JS

SENDER DETAILS

Name MRS AYESHA PARACHA

Address
33 Berkeley Avenue
Reading
RG1 6JS

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Hi,

I objected to this originally based on very valid concerns for the area such traffic, safety, patrons parking dangerously, loitering, increase of rats and the environmental impact. My stance has not changed so please see below my original objection.

I am a resident of Berkeley Avenue and have just been informed by neighbors of the current planning application to change to a takeaway. I have sent the below response as a comment on the official Reading Borough Council page but thought I would email you to further clarify my objections of the application directly to you.

I object the above planning application. I am a resident that lives 3 doors down from the proposed application for 39 Berkeley Avenue, RG1 6JS and am appalled at the lack of transparency of this. I was not ever notified of this change which directly negatively impacts me and only found out from other neighbours who also object this. I also found out that only the residents adjacent to the current shop were officially notified of the change which is strange as they are the ones who own the current shop and it is their application so of course they would not object it! That fact alone shows how corrupt and underhanded this new application is as no residents who this actually affects was told.

I object this for multiple reasons starting with how this proposed takeaway plans to operate in a residential area with limited parking spots, we already have many problems with our driveway being blocked and used by patrons of the current shop without our permission.

I am also concerned about the cleanliness of the area, we have rat problems as a direct result of the current shops large waste bins situated at the front of their shop so question how they plan to keep issues like that away when they will be adding food waste from the takeaway which will encourage more unwanted pests/ rodents. In addition to this, the concern for the added cooking fumes and smells which will plague the area if frying is to take place in such close proximity to my house all day.

Lastly, I am concerned about the influx of more people loitering around the area, there is already enough comings and goings from the current shop as well as the Esso petrol station without adding more people into the equation. With this influx of customers and people hanging around the area, I worry for the safety of my child and my safety with more people crowding and the possibility of dangerous characters hanging around outside my house at all hours of the day/into the night. There is also a concern for added noise.

This is a residential area and my family should not have to worry about who is outside our house and if they're being loud and disruptive to the area.

I do not support this new proposed change and will be deeply negatively impacted if it goes through.

Kind regards,

Ayesha Paracha