



Aberdeen Standard Investments

Units 1 & 6, Reading Gateway, Reading

Air Quality Screening Assessment

January 2019

Executive Park, Avalon Way, Anstey, Leicester, LE7 7GR

Tel: +44 (0)116 234 8143

Email: daniel.clampin@wyg.com



Document Control

Project: Proposed Commercial Development, Reading Gateway, Reading
Client: Aberdeen Standard Investments
Job Number: A109924
File Origin: O:\Acoustics Air Quality and Noise\Active Projects

Document Checking:

Prepared by:	Viral Patel <i>Environmental Consultant</i>	Initialled:	VP
Checked by:	Daniel Clampin <i>Principal Environmental Scientist</i>	Initialled:	DC
Verified by:	Nigel Mann <i>Director</i>	Initialled:	NM
Person to Contact:	Daniel Clampin <i>Principal Environmental Scientist</i>	Telephone:	0116 234 8143
		Email:	daniel.clampin@wyg.com

Issue	Date	Status
1	24 th January 2019	First Issue
2	30 th January 2019	Second Issue –minor amendments following comments



Contents Page

1.	Introduction.....	5
1.1	Site Location and Context	5
2.	Baseline Conditions	6
2.1	Air Quality review and Assessment	6
2.2	Background Concentrations	7
3.	Construction Phase	10
3.1	Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	10
3.2	Dust.....	10
3.3	Methodology.....	11
3.4	Assessment Results	11
4.	Operational Phase DMRB Assessment.....	13
4.1	DMRB Assessment.....	13
4.2	Traffic Data	13
4.3	Limitations of DMRB Assessment.....	13
4.4	Assessment Receptor Locations	14
4.5	Ecological Receptors.....	14
4.6	DMRB Assessment Results	14
5.	Mitigation	17
5.1	Construction Phase	17
6.	Conclusions	19

Figures

Figure 1 Site Location Plan



Executive Summary

WYG have undertaken an Air Quality Screening Assessment for a proposed commercial development at Units 1 & 6 Reading Gateway, Reading.

The potential effects during the construction phase include fugitive dust emissions from site activities, such as construction and trackout. The impacts during the operational phase take into account of exhaust emissions from additional road traffic generated due to the proposed development.

The air quality background concentrations in the vicinity of the proposed development site are below the Air Quality Objective (AQO) of $40\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for NO_2 and PM_{10} .

The Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) screening assessment concluded that during the operational phase, there will be no significant effects on local air quality from the additional development traffic on the local road network. Therefore, further detailed operational air quality assessment is not required.

Mitigation during the construction phase of the development and adherence to good practice measures will be implemented.

Based on the assessment undertaken and methodology within this assessment, it is concluded that the site is suitable for the proposed development and no further air quality assessment is required.

1. Introduction

Aberdeen Standard Investments commissioned WYG Environment Planning Transport (WYG) to prepare an Air Quality Screening Assessment to support the proposed commercial development at Reading Gateway, Reading.

The development consists of;

- A change of Use of Unit 6 (Toys R Us) from A1 (retail) to D2 (gym);
- Insert a mezzanine (size c.1,000sqm) in Unit 6 (also for D2 (gym) use);
- Subdivide Unit 1 (Unit B) (A1 retail) into two units; one A2/A3 unit and the other an A3 (food/drink) unit – each measuring c.360sqm. Insert 93sqm mezzanine into A3 unit.
- Minor alterations to the car park

1.1 Site Location and Context

Reference should be made to Figure 1 for a map of the proposed development site. The following assessment stages have been undertaken as part of this assessment:

- Baseline air quality evaluation;
- Assessment of potential air quality impacts during the construction phase; and
- Assessment of potential air quality impacts during the operational phase.

The results of the assessment are detailed in the following sections of this report.

2. Baseline Conditions

2.1 Air Quality review and Assessment

This section provides a review of the existing air quality in the vicinity of the proposed development site in order to provide a benchmark against which to assess potential air quality impacts of the proposed development. Baseline air quality in the vicinity of the proposed development site has been defined from a number of sources, as described in the following sections.

Local Air Quality Management (LAQM)

As required under section 82 of the Environment Act 1995, the Reading Borough Council (RBC) has conducted an ongoing exercise to review and assess air quality within its area of jurisdiction. The assessments have indicated that concentrations of NO₂ are above the relevant AQOs at a number of locations of relevant public exposure within the area administered by the Council; RBC has designated one AQMA that encompasses Reading City Centre and the major routes into Reading. The proposed site location borders Wokingham Borough Council (WBC), therefore monitoring undertaken within (WBC) has also been reviewed.

Air Quality Monitoring

Monitoring of air quality within RBC and WBC is undertaken through continuous and non-continuous monitoring methods. These have been reviewed in order to provide an indication of existing air quality in the area surrounding the proposed development site.

Continuous Monitoring

RBC operated a network of four automatic monitoring stations where NO₂ concentrations were monitored in 2017 and WBC operates one automatic station. The closest automatic station is located approximately 4.36 km north east from the site boundary.

The five NO₂ automatic monitoring results from within RBC and WBC are presented in Table 2.1 below.

Table 2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations

Site ID	Location	Site Type	Distance to Nearest Road (m)	Inlet Height (m)	NO ₂ Annual Mean Concentration 2017 (µg/m ³)
Reading AURN (RBC)	AURN	Urban Background	100	2.5	34.0
RD1 (RBC)	Caversham Road	Roadside	3	1.5	39.0
RD2 (RBC)	Oxford Road	Roadside	6	1.5	30.0
RD4 (RBC)	London Road	Roadside	3.5	1.5	32.0
CM2 (WBC)	Peach Street Wokingham	Roadside	1.5	1.5	38.1

Table 2.1 above illustrates, all automatic monitoring stations during 2017 were below the relevant AQO ($40 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

Non-Continuous Monitoring

RBC operated a network of 62 diffusion tubes and WBC 49, where NO_2 concentrations were monitored in 2017. The closest diffusion tube is located approximately 767 m north from the site boundary.

The closest NO_2 diffusion tube monitoring results from within RDC and WBC are presented in Table 2.2 below.

Table 2.2 Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Locations

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	Distance to Nearest Road (m)	Inlet Height (m)	NO_2 Annual Mean Concentration 2017 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)
DT11	Shinfield Road above hedge	Roadside	5.0	2.5	31.0
DT12	Shinfield Road side of shop	Roadside	1.0	2.5	38.0
DT13	495 Basingstoke Road	Roadside	8.0	2.5	34.0
WOK 882	341 Whitley Wood Lane Shinfield	Roadside	1.0	2.18	39.5
WOK 836	343 Old Whitley Wood Lane Shinfield	Roadside	1.2	2.3	33.6
WOK 842	Foxglade, Brookers Hill Shinfield	Other	35.5	1.6	25.0
WOK 840	30 Finbeck Way Lower Earley	Suburban	18.4	1.7	24.9

Table 2.2 above illustrates, all diffusion tubes during 2017 monitored levels below the relevant AQO ($40 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).

2.2 Background Concentrations

The use of background concentrations within the modelling process ensures that pollutant sources other than traffic are represented appropriately. Background sources of pollutants include industrial, domestic and rail emissions within the vicinity of the study site. Several sources have been used to obtain representative background levels as discussed below.

The background concentrations used within the assessment have been determined with reference to the IAQM Guidance and TG (16).

The IAQM Guidance states:

"A matter of judgement should take into account the background and future background air quality and whether it is likely to approach or exceed the value of the AQO."

Additionally, TG (16) states:

"Typically, only the process contributions from local sources are represented within and output by the dispersion model. In these circumstances, it is necessary to add an appropriate background concentration(s) to the modelled source contributions to derive the total pollutant concentrations."

Defra Published Background Concentrations for 2020

Background concentrations from the UK National Air Quality Information Archive database based on the National Grid Co-ordinates of 1 x 1 km grid squares nearest to the development site are shown in Table 2.2 below. In November 2017, Defra issued revised 2015 based background maps for nitrogen oxide (NO_x), NO₂, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} which incorporate updates to the input data used for modelling. 2020 background maps have been utilised. The mapped background concentrations used in the assessment are summarised in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Published Background Air Quality Levels (µg/m³)

Receptor Location	2020			
	NO ₂	NO _x	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}
R1	14.57	20.00	13.68	8.81
R2	14.57	20.00	13.68	8.81
R3	14.57	20.00	13.68	8.81
R4	14.57	20.00	13.68	8.81
R5	14.57	20.00	13.68	8.81
PR1	14.57	20.00	13.68	8.81

Local Authority Monitoring Background

As the Environment Agency Air Quality Modelling and Assessment Unit (AQMAU) Document states that the *Case Specific Scenarios* approach should be used within an assessment.

"Operators are asked to justify their use of percentages lower than 35%, for short-term and 70% for long-term in their application reports."

For the long-term:

- NO_x to NO₂ = 70%
- NO₂/NO_x = 70%
- Therefore, NO_x = NO₂/0.7 = 1.428

A factor of 1.428 has been applied to the NO₂ to produce the NO_x value.

Table 2.3 Roadside Modelled Contribution at Tubes

Tube	Monitored NO ₂ (µg/m ³) 2017	Traffic flow on closest road		Modelled Traffic Contribution NO ₂ (µg/m ³) 2017	Non-Traffic NO ₂ (µg/m ³)
		Road	AADT flow		
DT13	34.0	Basingstoke Road B3031	45,978	5.09	28.91

Units 1 & 6, Reading Gateway, Reading Air Quality Screening Assessment



Following a review of the LA monitoring, by considering the likely apportionment of traffic vs background contributions at each of the monitoring locations (table 2.3 above), it can be seen that the background calculated through the roadside modelled contribution is much higher than the Defra background maps. As the proposed site is within an AQMA and as a worst-case approach, the Non-Traffic NO₂ in Table 2.3 has been used as the background NO₂ for the assessment.

3. Construction Phase

The main emissions during construction are likely to be dust and particulate matter generated from construction materials. The main potential effects of dust and particulate matter are:

- Visual - dust plume, reduced visibility, coating and soiling of surfaces leading to annoyance, loss of amenity, the need to clean surfaces;
- Physical and/or chemical contamination and corrosion of artefacts;
- Coating of vegetation and soil contamination; and,
- Health effects due to inhalation e.g. asthma or irritation of the eyes.

A number of other factors such as the amount of precipitation and other meteorological conditions will also greatly influence the amount of particulate matter generated.

Construction activities can give rise to short-term elevated dust/PM₁₀ concentrations in neighbouring areas. This may arise from vehicle movements, soiling of the public highway or windblown stockpiles.

3.1 Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

The UK Air Quality Standards seek to control the health implications of respirable PM₁₀. However, the majority of particles released from construction will be greater than this in size.

Construction works on site have the potential to elevate localised PM₁₀ concentrations in the area. On this basis, mitigation measures should still be taken to minimise these emissions as part of good site practice.

3.2 Dust

Particles greater than 10µm are likely to settle out relatively quickly and may cause annoyance due to their soiling capability. There are no formal standards or criteria for nuisance caused by deposited particles, however, a deposition rate of 200mg/m²/day is often presented as a threshold for serious nuisance though this is usually only applied to long term exposure as people are generally more tolerant of dust for a short or defined period. Significant nuisance is likely when the dust coverage of surfaces is visible in contrast with adjacent clean areas, especially when it happens regularly. Severe dust nuisance occurs when the dust is perceptible without a clean reference surface.

Construction activities have the potential to suspend dust, which could result in annoyance of residents surrounding the site. Measures will be taken to minimise the emissions of dust as part of good site practice. Recommended mitigation measures proportionate to the risk associated with the development and based on best practice guidance are discussed in the following sections.

3.3 Methodology

The construction phase assessment utilises the IAQM Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction document published in February 2014.

Two construction processes are considered; these are construction and trackout. For each of these phases, the significance of the potential dust impacts is derived following the determination of a dust emission magnitude and the distance of activities to the nearest sensitive receptor, therefore assessing worst case impacts. A full explanation of the methodology is contained in Appendix A.

3.4 Assessment Results

Based on the methodology detailed in Appendix A, the scale of the anticipated works has determined the potential dust emission magnitude for each process, as presented in the Table 1.1 below.

Table 3.1 Dust Emission Magnitude

Construction Process	Dust Emission Magnitude
Demolition	N/A
Earthworks	Small
Construction	Small
Trackout	Small

The sensitivity of the surrounding area to each construction process has been determined following stage 2B of the IAQM guidance. The assessment has determined the area sensitivities as shown in the Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Sensitivity of the Area

Source	Area Sensitivity		
	Dust Soiling	Health Effects of PM ₁₀	Ecological
Demolition	N/A	N/A	N/A
Earthworks	High	Low	N/A
Construction	High	Low	N/A
Trackout	High	Low	N/A

The dust emission magnitude determined in Table 3.1 has been combined with the sensitivity of the area determined in Table 3.2, to determine the risk of impacts prior to the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures. The potential impact significance of dust emissions associated with the construction phase, without mitigation, is presented below in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Impact Significance of Construction Activities without Mitigation

Source	Summary Risk of Impacts Prior to Mitigation		
	Dust Soiling	Health Effects of PM ₁₀	Ecological
Demolition	N/A	N/A	N/A
Earthworks	Low	Negligible	N/A

Units 1 & 6, Reading Gateway, Reading Air Quality Screening Assessment



Source	Summary Risk of Impacts Prior to Mitigation		
	Dust Soiling	Health Effects of PM ₁₀	Ecological
Construction	Low	Negligible	N/A
Trackout	Low	Negligible	N/A

4. Operational Phase Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) Assessment

4.1 DMRB Assessment

An assessment of operational phase traffic flows has been undertaken to assess the potential impact of the proposed development with regards to increases in traffic flows along the local road network. Principal pollutants of concern considered within this assessment are nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulate matter (PM₁₀).

The DMRB Calculation Sheet V1.03c has been used to calculate pollutant concentrations. Assessment receptor locations have been selected at existing property facades at locations where higher than average pollution concentrations are likely to be experienced, i.e. within the AQMA. Selecting receptors at such locations ensures a 'worst case scenario' prediction of pollutant concentrations. An assessment of the impact of existing air quality on proposed receptors has also been included.

4.2 Traffic Data

Traffic data has been sourced from the Transport Assessment for the nearby 175 homes residential development produced by Peter Brett Associates.

Development trip data has been provided by WYG transport consultants which shows that there will be a net decrease in vehicle trips as a result of the proposals.

The traffic data used in the assessment are shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 Traffic Data

Link		Speed (km/h)	2020 Do Minimum		2020 Do Something	
			AADT	%HGV	AADT	%HGV
1	Imperial Way	48	17,630	2.00	17,510	2.01
2	Basingstoke Road East of Site	48	7,331	2.00	7,301	2.01
3	Basingstoke Road South of Site	64	55,108	2.00	55,048	2.00
4	Basingstoke Road North of Site	64	51,451	2.00	51,421	2.00
5	Basingstoke Road North of M4	64	29,912	2.00	29,882	2.00
6	Sentinal End	48	1,587	2.00	1,527	2.08

4.3 Limitations of DMRB Assessment

The following limitations have been identified with the DMRB Assessment:

- The assessment has only considered the impact on the identified affected roads, namely those included in Table 4.1.
- Background concentrations have been based off the monitored value of nearby Local Authority

monitoring results

- The DRMB result outputs are unadjusted results.

4.4 Assessment Receptor Locations

Receptor locations have been identified to indicate the effects of the surrounding road network. The receptor locations are presented in Table 4.2 and reference should be made to Figure 1 for a visual representation.

Table 4.2 Assessment Receptor Locations

Receptor		Distance from Link (m)					
ID	Location	1	2	3	4	5	6
R1	Imperial Way	10	170	297	291	471	152
R2	43 Kingsley Close	309	23	97	365	139	90
R3	51 Kingsley Close	373	14	35	408	32	199
R4	41 Heroes Walk	223	24	265	401	316	94
R5	17 Ashby Court	96	17	404	473	474	244
PR1	Proposed Commercial Receptor	34	244	75	109	358	52

4.5 Ecological Receptors

Air quality impacts associated with the proposed development have the potential to impact on receptors of ecological sensitivity within the vicinity of the site. The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (2017) require competent authorities to review planning applications and consents that have the potential to impact on European designated sites (e.g. Special Protection Areas).

A study was undertaken to identify any statutory designated sites of ecological or nature conservation importance within the extents of the dispersion modelling assessment. This was completed using the Multi-Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) web-based interactive mapping service, which draws together information on key environmental schemes and designations. Following a search within a 1km radius of the site boundary, no ecologically sensitive receptor was identified.

4.6 DMRB Assessment Results

Nitrogen Dioxide

Table 4.3 presents a summary of the predicted change in NO₂ concentrations at relevant receptor locations, due to changes in traffic flow associated with the development, based on modelled 'do minimum' and 'do something' scenarios.

Table 4.3 Nitrogen Dioxide Assessment Results (µg/m³)

Receptor ID		Predicted Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentration (µg/m ³)		Development Contribution (DS-DM)
ID	Location	Do Minimum	Do Something	
R1	Imperial Way	32.05	32.05	0.00
R2	43 Kingsley Close	30.67	30.66	-0.01
R3	51 Kingsley Close	35.72	35.71	-0.01
R4	41 Heroes Walk	29.43	29.42	-0.01
R5	17 Ashby Court	29.06	29.05	-0.01
PR1	Proposed Commercial Receptor	-	32.62	-

All modelled existing receptors are predicted to meet the AQO for NO₂ in both the 'do minimum' and 'do something' scenarios.

As illustrated in Table 4.3, at nearby existing receptors, there is predicted to be an increase in the annual average exposure of NO₂, due to changes in traffic movement associated with the development is -0.01 µg/m³ at 42 Kingsley Close (R2), 51 Kingsley Close (R3) 41 Heroes Walk (R4) and 17 Ashby Court (R5).

The maximum predicted exposure, at any proposed sensitive receptor is predicted to be 35.72 µg/m³, this is predicted to be below the AQO of 40 µg/m³. As a result, no additional mitigation is required.

The significance of the effects is shown in Table 4.4 below.

Table 4.4 Significance of Effects at Key Existing Receptors (NO₂)

NO ₂ Significance Effects at Key Receptors					
Receptor	Change Due to Development (DS-DM) (µg/m ³)	Change (% of AQO)	% Change in Concentration Relative to AQO	% Annual Mean Concentration in Assessment Year	Significance
R1	0.00	0.00	0%	76-94% of AQAL	Negligible
R2	-0.01	-0.03	0%	76-94% of AQAL	Negligible
R3	-0.01	-0.02	0%	76-94% of AQAL	Negligible
R4	-0.01	-0.02	0%	≤75% of AQAL	Negligible
R5	-0.01	-0.02	0%	≤75% of AQAL	Negligible
*0% means a change of <0.5% as per explanatory note 2 of table 6.3 of the EPUK IAQM Guidance.					

Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)

Table 4.5 presents a summary of the predicted change in annual mean PM₁₀ concentrations at relevant receptor locations, due to changes in traffic flow associated with the development, based on modelled 'do minimum' and 'do something' scenarios.

Table 4.5 Particulate Matter Assessment Results (µg/m³)

Receptor ID		Predicted Annual Mean NO ₂ Concentration (µg/m ³)		Development Contribution (DS-DM)
ID	Location	Do Minimum	Do Something	
R1	Imperial Way	14.46	14.46	0.00
R2	43 Kingsley Close	14.08	14.08	0.00
R3	51 Kingsley Close	15.20	15.20	0.00
R4	41 Heroes Walk	13.82	13.82	0.00
R5	17 Ashby Court	13.76	13.76	0.00
PR1	Proposed Commercial Receptor	-	14.54	-

All modelled existing receptors are predicted to meet the AQO for NO₂ in both the 'do minimum' and 'do something' scenarios.

As illustrated in Table 4.5, at nearby existing receptors, there is predicted to be an increase in the annual average exposure of NO₂, due to changes in traffic movement associated with the development is <0.01 µg/m³ at all assessed receptors.

The maximum predicted exposure, at any proposed sensitive receptor is predicted to be 15.20 µg/m³, this is predicted to be below the AQO of 40 µg/m³ and therefore, no additional mitigation would be required.

The significance of the effects is shown in Table 4.6 below.

Table 4.6 Significance of Effects at Key Existing Receptors (PM₁₀)

PM ₁₀ Significance Effects at Key Receptors					
Receptor	Change Due to Development (DS-DM) (µg/m ³)	Change (% of AQO)	% Change in Concentration Relative to AQO	% Annual Mean Concentration in Assessment Year	Significance
R1	0.00	-0.01	0%	≤75% of AQAL	Negligible
R2	0.00	0.00	0%	≤75% of AQAL	Negligible
R3	0.00	0.00	0%	≤75% of AQAL	Negligible
R4	0.00	0.00	0%	≤75% of AQAL	Negligible
R5	0.00	0.00	0%	≤75% of AQAL	Negligible
*0% means a change of <0.5% as per explanatory note 2 of table 6.3 of the EPUK IAQM Guidance.					

The DMRB assessment calculations have predicted that there will not be an exceedance of AQOs for PM₁₀ and PM₁₀ at existing receptors, therefore further mitigation will not be necessary.

5. Mitigation

5.1 Construction Phase

The dust risk categories have been determined in Section 3 for each of the four construction activities. The assessment has determined that the potential impact significance of dust emissions associated with the construction phase of the proposed development is 'low risk' at the worst affected receptors.

Using the methodology described in Appendix A, site specific mitigation measures associated with the determined level of risk can be found in Section 8.2 of the IAQM Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction. The mitigation measures have been divided into general communications and dust management measures applicable to all sites, and measures applicable specifically to demolition, earthworks, construction and trackout. They are categorised into 'highly recommended' and 'desirable' measures.

The mitigation measures for the proposed development are detailed in Table 5.1 below:

Table 5.1 Highly Recommended Construction Phase Mitigation Measures

Communications
Display the name and contact details of person(s) accountable for air quality and dust issues on the site boundary. This may be the environment manager/engineer or the site manager.
Display the head or regional office contact information.
Dust Management
Record all dust and air quality complaints, identify cause(s), take appropriate measures to reduce emissions in a timely manner, and record the measures taken.
Make the complaints log available to the local authority when asked.
Record any exceptional incidents that cause dust and/or air emissions, either on- or offsite, and the action taken to resolve the situation in the log book.
Carry out regular site inspections to monitor compliance with the DMP, record inspection results, and make an inspection log available to the local authority when asked.
Increase the frequency of site inspections by the person accountable for air quality and dust issues on site when activities with a high potential to produce dust are being carried out and during prolonged dry or windy conditions.
Plan site layout so that machinery and dust causing activities are located away from receptors, as far as is possible.
Erect solid screens or barriers around dusty activities or the site boundary that are at least as high as any stockpiles on site.
Avoid site runoff of water or mud.
Ensure all on-road vehicles comply with the requirements of the London Low Emission Zone and the London NRMM standards, where applicable.
Ensure all vehicles switch off engines when stationary - no idling vehicles.
Avoid the use of diesel or petrol powered generators and use mains electricity or battery powered equipment where practicable.

Only use cutting, grinding or sawing equipment fitted or in conjunction with suitable dust suppression techniques such as water sprays or local extraction, e.g. suitable local exhaust ventilation systems.
Ensure an adequate water supply on the site for effective dust/particulate matter suppression/mitigation, using non-potable water where possible and appropriate.
Use enclosed chutes and conveyors and covered skips.
Minimise drop heights from conveyors, loading shovels, hoppers and other loading or handling equipment and use fine water sprays on such equipment wherever appropriate.
Avoid bonfires and burning of waste materials.
Earthworks
No Action Required.
Construction
Avoid scabbling (roughening of concrete surfaces) if possible.
Ensure sand and other aggregates are stored in bunded areas and are not allowed to dry out, unless this is required for a particular process, in which case ensure that appropriate additional control measures are in place.
Trackout
Use water-assisted dust sweeper(s) on the access and local roads, to remove, as necessary, any material tracked out of the site. This may require the sweeper being continuously in use.
Avoid dry sweeping of large areas.
Ensure vehicles entering and leaving sites are covered to prevent escape of materials during transport.
Record all inspections of haul routes and any subsequent action in a site log book.
Implement a wheel washing system (with rumble grids to dislodge accumulated dust and mud prior to leaving the site where reasonably practicable).

Following the implementation of the mitigation measures detailed in the tables above, the impact significance of the construction phase is not considered to be significant.



6. Conclusions


WYG have undertaken an Air Quality Screening Assessment for the proposed commercial development at Units 1 & 6 Reading Gateway. This assessment is in accordance with the methodology and parameters described within this report.

Prior to the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, the potential impact significance of dust emissions associated with the construction phase of the proposed development has potential as 'medium' at some worst affected receptors without mitigation. However, appropriate site-specific mitigation measures have been recommended based on Section 8.2 of the IAQM Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition, Earthworks, Construction and Trackout. It is anticipated that with these appropriate mitigation measures in place, the risk of adverse effects due to emissions from the construction phase will not be significant.

Following a review of the baseline conditions and the DMRB modelling results, it is predicted that levels of NO₂ and PM₁₀ will not exceed the relevant Air Quality Objective. The magnitude of the effects of changes in traffic flow as a result of the proposed development, with respect to NO₂ and PM₁₀ exposure, is determined to be 'negligible'. Overall, it is considered that there are no valid air quality-related impacts to warrant further detailed air quality assessment.



Figure 1 Site Location Plan



Appendix A – Construction Phase Methodology

The following information sets out the adopted approach to the construction phase impact assessment in accordance with the aforementioned IAQM guidance¹.

Step 1 – Screen the Requirement for a more Detailed Assessment

An assessment is required if there are sensitive receptors within 350m of the site boundary, within 50m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the surrounding road network, or within 500m from the site entrance. A detailed assessment is also required if there is an ecological receptor within 50m of the site boundary.

Step 2A – Define the Potential Dust Emission Magnitude

Construction

The dust emission magnitude for the construction phase has been determined based on the below criteria:

- *Large:* Total building volume >100 000m³, on site concrete batching; sandblasting
- *Medium:* Total building volume 25 000m³ – 100 000m³, potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), on site concrete batching; and,
- *Small:* Total building volume <25 000m³, construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal cladding or timber).

Trackout

The dust emission magnitude for trackout has been determined based on the below criteria:

- *Large:* >50 HGV (>3.5t) outward movements in any one day, potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length >100m;
- *Medium:* 10-50 HGV (>3.5t) outward movements in any one day, moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length 50m – 100m; and,
- *Small:* <10 HGV (>3.5t) outward movements in any one day, surface material with low potential for dust release, unpaved road length <50m.

Step 2B - Defining the Sensitivity of the Area

Sensitivities of People to Dust Soiling Effects

- *High:*
 - * Users can reasonably expect a enjoyment of a high level of amenity;
 - * The appearance, aesthetics or value of their property would be diminished by soiling; and the people or property would reasonably expect to be present continuously, or at least regularly for extended periods, as part of the normal pattern of use of the land; and,
 - * Indicative examples include dwellings, museums and other culturally important collections, medium and long term car parks and car showrooms.
- *Medium:*
 - * Users can reasonably expect to enjoy a reasonable level of amenity, but would not reasonably expect to enjoy the same level of amenity as in their home;

¹ Institute of Air Quality Management 2014. *Guidance on the Assessment of dust from demolition and construction*.

Proposed Commercial Development, Reading Gateway, Reading Air Quality Assessment



- * The appearance, aesthetics or value of their property could be diminished by soiling;
 - * The people or property wouldn't reasonably be expected to be present here continuously or regularly for extended periods as part of the normal pattern of use of the land; and,
 - * Indicative examples include parks and places of work.
- **Low:**
 - * The enjoyment of amenity would not reasonably be expected;
 - * Property would not reasonably be expected to be diminished in appearance, aesthetics or value by soiling;
 - * There is transient exposure, where the people or property would reasonably be expected to be present only for limited periods of time as part of the normal pattern of use of the land; and,
 - * Indicative examples include playing fields, farmland (unless commercially-sensitive horticultural), footpaths, short term car parks and roads.

The sensitivity of the area should be derived for each of the four activities: demolition, construction, earthworks and trackout, using the following table:

Table A1– Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property

Receptor Sensitivity	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)			
		<20	<50	<100	<350
High	>100	High	High	Medium	Low
	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Medium	>1	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Low	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low

Note - The likely routes the construction traffic will use should also be included to enable the presence of trackout receptors to be included in the assessment. As a general guidance, without site-specific mitigation, trackout may occur along the public highway up to 500 m from large sites (as defined in step 2A), 200 m from medium sites and 50 m from small sites, as measured from the site exit.

Sensitivities of People to the Health Effects of PM₁₀

- **High:**
 - * Locations where members of the public are exposed over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM₁₀ (in the case of the 24-hour objectives, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more in a day);
 - * Indicative examples include residential properties. Hospitals, schools and residential care homes should also be considered as having equal sensitivity to residential areas for the purposes of this assessment.
- **Medium:**
 - * Locations where the people exposed are workers, and exposure is over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM₁₀ (in the case of the 24-hour objectives, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more in a day); and,
 - * Indicative examples include office and shop workers but will generally not include workers occupationally exposed to PM₁₀, as protection is covered by Health and Safety at Work legislation.
- **Low:**
 - * Locations where human exposure is transient; and,
 - * Indicative examples include public footpaths, playing fields, parks and shopping streets.

The sensitivity of the area should be derived for each of the four activities: demolition, construction, earthworks and trackout, using the following table:

Proposed Commercial Development, Reading Gateway, Reading Air Quality Assessment



Table A2 - Sensitivity of the Area to Human Health Impacts

Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM ₁₀ Concentration	Number of Receptors	Distance from the Source (m)				
			<20	<50	<100	<200	<350
High	>32 ·g/m ³	>100	High	High	High	Medium	Low
		10-100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	28 - 32 ·g/m ³	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	24 – 28 ·g/m ³	>100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<24 ·g/m ³	>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
		10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Medium	-	>10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	-	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

Note - The likely routes the construction traffic will use should also be included to enable the presence of trackout receptors to be included in the assessment. As a general guidance, without site-specific mitigation, trackout may occur along the public highway up to 500 m from large sites (as defined in step 2A), 200 m from medium sites and 50 m from small sites, as measured from the site exit.

Sensitivities of Receptors to Ecological Effects

- High:**
 - * Locations with an international or national designation and the designated features may be affected by dust soiling;
 - * Locations where there is a community of a particularly dust sensitive species such as vascular species included in the Red Data List For Great Britain; and,
 - * Indicative examples include a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) designated for acid heathlands or a local site designated for lichens adjacent to the demolition of a large site containing concrete (alkali) buildings.
- Medium:**
 - * Locations where there is a particularly important plant species, where its dust sensitivity is uncertain or unknown;
 - * Locations with a national designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition; and,
 - * Indicative example is a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) with dust sensitive features.
- Low:**
 - * Locations with a local designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition; and,
 - * Indicative example is a local Nature Reserve with dust sensitive features.

The sensitivity of the area should be derived for each of the four activities: demolition, construction, earthworks and trackout, using the following table:

Table A3 - Sensitivity of the Area to Ecological Impacts

Receptor Sensitivity	Distance from Source (m)	
	<20	<50
High	High	Medium

Proposed Commercial Development, Reading Gateway, Reading Air Quality Assessment



Receptor Sensitivity	Distance from Source (m)	
	<20	<50
Medium	Medium	Low
Low	Low	Low

Note - The likely routes the construction traffic will use should also be included to enable the presence of trackout receptors to be included in the assessment. As a general guidance, without site-specific mitigation, trackout may occur along the public highway up to 500 m from large sites (as defined in step 2A), 200 m from medium sites and 50 m from small sites, as measured from the site exit.

Step 2C - Defining the Risk of Impacts

The risk of impacts with no mitigation is determined by combining the dust emission magnitude determined in Step 2A and the sensitivity of the area determined in Step 2B.

The following tables provide a method of assigning the level of risk for each activity.

Demolition

Table A4 - Risk of Dust Impacts, Demolition

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk
Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Earthworks

Table A5 - Risk of Dust Impacts, Earthworks

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Construction

Table A6 - Risk of Dust Impacts, Construction

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Trackout

Table A7 - Risk of Dust Impacts, Trackout

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude		
	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

Proposed Commercial Development, Reading Gateway, Reading Air Quality Assessment



Step 3 – Site Specific Mitigation

The dust risk categories for each of the four activities determined in Step 2C should be used to define the appropriate, site-specific mitigation measures to be adopted.

These mitigation measures are contained within section 8.2 of the IAQM Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction.



Appendix B – Report Terms & Conditions

This Report has been prepared using reasonable skill and care for the sole benefit of Paragon Building Consultants ("the Client") for the proposed uses stated in the report by [WYG Environment Planning Transport Limited] ("WYG"). WYG exclude all liability for any other uses and to any other party. The report must not be relied on or reproduced in whole or in part by any other party without the copyright holder's permission.

No liability is accepted or warranty given for; unconfirmed data, third party documents and information supplied to WYG or for the performance, reliability, standing etc of any products, services, organisations or companies referred to in this report. WYG does not purport to provide specialist legal, tax or accounting advice.

The report refers, within the limitations stated, to the environment of the site in the context of the surrounding area at the time of the inspections'. Environmental conditions can vary and no warranty is given as to the possibility of changes in the environment of the site and surrounding area at differing times. No investigative method can eliminate the possibility of obtaining partially imprecise, incomplete or not fully representative information. Any monitoring or survey work undertaken as part of the commission will have been subject to limitations, including for example timescale, seasonal and weather-related conditions. Actual environmental conditions are typically more complex and variable than the investigative, predictive and modelling approaches indicate in practice, and the output of such approaches cannot be relied upon as a comprehensive or accurate indicator of future conditions. The "shelf life" of the Report will be determined by a number of factors including; its original purpose, the Client's instructions, passage of time, advances in technology and techniques, changes in legislation etc. and therefore may require future re-assessment.

The whole of the report must be read as other sections of the report may contain information which puts into context the findings in any executive summary.

The performance of environmental protection measures and of buildings and other structures in relation to acoustics, vibration, noise mitigation and other environmental issues is influenced to a large extent by the degree to which the relevant environmental considerations are incorporated into the final design and specifications and the quality of workmanship and compliance with the specifications on site during construction. WYG accept no liability for issues with performance arising from such factors.